JUNPING INTO HYPERSPACE

Leveraging Skills from Multiple

Departments in the Great City

Schools

How often have you...

Felt overwhelmed implementing new initiatives?

Wondered why it takes so long to get accurate, comprehensive data & reports?

Been aggravated that implementations aren't supported by all departments?







. . .

Interoperability

Interoperability is the seamless, secure, and controlled **exchange of data between applications**. At the core of interoperability is a focus on better informing instruction and driving student-centered learning experiences.

. .

-Project Unicorn



Example: Cash on the Go



Example: Video Streaming



One Account and Password logs you into many apps on many devices anywhere you go





Example: Healthcare



Timely access to health data

Proactive Screening

Referrals between doctors



The Interoperability and Privacy Coalition

Three organizations representing districts, states, leaders, educators, and solution providers have come together to work towards a common goal of shifting behavior and strengthening the prioritization of interoperability, privacy, and security in procurement.







Data Systems in Most School Districts



. . .

. . .



Today We Will Cover:

. . .

- Case Studies from Metro Nashville Public Schools and Clark
 County School District how these 2 districts are implementing cross-functional decision making and governance to improve student outcomes
- A new Interoperability and Privacy Maturity Model that these school districts are using to advance their maturity in Leadership, Governance, Procurement, Technology & Infrastructure, Implementation Fidelity, and Privacy

· • •

MNPS Interoperability Journey



METRO NASHVILLE PUBLIC SCHOOLS

CGCS Interoperability Digital Maturity Model

Area	Beginning Scoring	Year 1 Conclusion
Leadership and Vision	2.33	2.33
Procurement	2.14	2.00
Governance	2.10	2.30
Technology and Infrastructure Landscape	1.94	2.41
Implementation Fidelity	2.25	3.00
Privacy	1.80	2.25



Priority 1

Priority 1										
Domain	Technology a	nd Infrastructure Land	dscape							
Capability	Portals and P	ortlets	<u> </u>							
Definition	Implements in more are extr own small win other informa	nteroperability standa emely common on sc ndow and act like a mi tion relevant to the in	rds for Por hool web s ini-portal f dividual ac	rtals and P sites. A por or a given ccessing th	ortlets. Sto tal is made purpose s ne portlet.	udent porta e up of por uch as em	als, parent rtlets – or i ail, discus	portals, e nini-portal sion forun	mployee p ls – that sin is, reports	ortals and t in their , and
Current Maturity Level	2	Current Maturity Description	A documer in developi	nted plan fo ment.	r implemer	nting Portals	s and Portle	ets interope	erability star	ndards is
		EVIDENCE OF CURRENT STATE Description	While the a there is not decommissi	While the agency has implemented a few 'portals' for information and collaboration processes, here is not a formally documented procedure on how portals shall be integrated, managed or decommissioned.						
Desired Maturity Level	3	Desired Maturity Description	A documer is complete	nted proces e but is not	s for imple yet implem	menting Po lented orga	rtals and P nization-wi	ortlets inter de.	operability	standards
		EVIDENCE OF GOAL STATE Description	The distric data is coll organizatic	t will pilot a ected and j on a proces	district wid presented t s for movin	e student p o the end u g forward v	ortal and d ser. This p vith additior	ocument th rocess will nal portals.	e process l provide the	by which





METRO NASHVILLE PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Personalized Student Dashboard

BANK SCORE RESULT PATE HAP Reading, (MAPRI 207.000 1778 XIL): 1/13/2821 Granik Gran Starl of Your MAP Randing, (MAPRI 204.000 SIST XILE in a little set that all Yes HAP Besding, (HAPBI 201,000 Groudh From Sheet of Year MAP Heth, (MAPHT) 215,000 SALE XILL 1/25/282 Graulh from Sheel of Your MAP Heth, (MAPHT) 217.000 2457 XILE 1/25/2020 MAP Heth, (MAPHT) 209.000 ITTE XILL 2/25/282 Grand & Grand March of March

Phase 1

Timely, on-demand student data aligned to Focused Outcomes





Phase 1

Timely, on-demand student data aligned to Focused Outcomes



Available Data Phase 1

- MAP Assessment Scores (Automated)
- ACT Scores (Manual Load)
- Civics Exam Scores (SIS)
- WIDA ACCESS Scores (Automated)
- Attendance Data(SIS)
- Behavior Data (SIS)
- Course Grades (SIS)
- Academic Plan (SIS)



Parent Portal Guidance: MAP

Metro Nashville Public Schools » Learn » Academics » Assessments » Tests and Assessments » Parent Portal



PARENT PORTAL

Assessment Information in Parent Portal

Measures of Academic Progress (MAP) ACT and Civics Exams WIDA ACCESS

MAP is a computer adaptive assessment that measures student academic achievement and growth over time. Because it adapts based on student responses and is grade level independent, MAP can provide growth data for all students, whether they are on grade level, below grade level, or above grade level. Results are nationally normed, which means they can be used to compare student achievement and growth to students nationally. The test is typically administered in Reading and Mathematics three times each school year.

An example of a MAP Parent Portal report is shown below. The subject area (Reading or Math) is listed as part of the test "NAME." In the column labeled "SCORE" is a scale score known as a RIT score. A RIT score is an estimate of a student's instructional level. As a student's level of achievement grows over time, the RIT score should increase. If you have ever had a chart at home on which you marked your child's height at certain times, you might think of the RIT score as a mark we make to measure a student's academic growth.

< Back			Taylor A Johnson 🔒
For more information regarding how to inter Click Here	roret the assessment inform	ation presented here, pleas	e follow the link below:
District Tests	Scored high 5th grader this tr	er than 47% of is nationally at ne ofyear	
NAME	SCORE	RESULT	DATE
MVP Reading, (MVPRD)	207.000	47TH NULE	1/18/2021
Growth from Start of Year from Augu	ust to	Lo Average	Growth is in low average range
MAP Reading, (MAPRD)	284.000	S1ST SULE	8/20/2020
Growth from Start of Year			
MAP Reading, (MIPRD)	284.000	ATH NULE	2/14/2020
Growth from Start of Year		HAverage	
MAP Math, (MAPNT)	215,000	SATH NULE	1/26/2021
Growth from Start of Year		Low	
MAP Math, (MAPNT)	217.000	7157 %# F	8/25/2020
Growth from Start of Year			
NAP Math, (MAPNT)	219.000	47TH SULE	2/26/2020
0.000.000		6. march 1	

To make the RIT score more meaningful, we compare that score to the RIT scores of a national sample of students in the same grade at the same time of year. In the "RESULT" column we see the national percentills score, which is the percentage of students in the national sample that had lower scores than the student being reported.

METRO NASHVILLE PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Assessments

			🔺 🔺
< Back			Albert L. Green 🛛 🚨
For more information regarding how to interpret the ass Click Here	essment information pre	esented here, please follow th	e link below:
State Tests			
NAME	SCORE	RESULT	DATE
TNReady English Language Arts, (TNReady ELA)	314.000	APPROACHING	04/21/2021
TNReady Math, (TNReadyMT)	292.000	BELOW	04/21/2021
TNReady Science, (TNReadySC)	303.000	APPROACHING	04/21/2021
TNReady Social Studies, (TNReadySS)	310.000	APPROACHING	04/21/2021
District Tests			
NAME	SCORE	RESULT	DATE
MAP Reading, (MAPRD)	210.000	48TH %ILE	05/6/2021
Growth from Start of Year		Lo Average	
MAP Reading, (MAPRD)	207.000	47TH %ILE	01/19/2021
Growth from Start of Year		Lo Average	
MAP Reading, (MAPRD)	204.000	51ST %ILE	08/20/2020
Growth from Start of Year			
MAP Math, (MAPMT)	215.000	54TH %LE	01/26/2021
Growth from Start of Year		Low	
MAP Math, (MAPMT)	217.000	71ST %ILE	8/25/2020
Growth from Start of Year			
MAP Math, (MAPIMI)	209.000	4/TH%LE	2/26/2020
Growth from Start of Year		Average	



ACT and Civics Exam

			🔺 🔺
< Back			Madison B. Jones 🔒
For more information regar Click Here	ding how to interpret the assessmer	t information presented here, please	follow the link below:
National Tests			
NAME	SCORE	DATE	
ACT, (ACT)		10/1/2020	
English	22.000		
Math	19.000		
Reading	22.000		
Science	19.000		
ACT, (ACT)	18.000	2/1/2020	
English	20.000		
Math	17.000		
Reading	18.000		
Science	17.000		
State Tests			
NAME	SCORE	RESULT	DATE
Civics Exam, (Civics)	→ 100.000	PASS	12/18/2020



WIDA ACCESS

				1
< Back			Angel S. Garcia	
For more information regarding how to inter Click Here	pret the assessment inform	nation presented here, pleas	se follow the link below:	
District Tests				
NAME	SCORE	RESULT	DATE	
WIDA-ACCESS, (ACCESS)	339.000	DEVELOPING	2/17/2020	
WIDA-ACCESS, (ACCESS)	306.000	DEVELOPING	2/19/2019	
WIDA-ACCESS, (ACCESS)	273.000	EMERGING	2/20/2018	
WIDA-ACCESS, (ACCESS)	271.000	EMERGING	3/6/2017	
WIDA-ACCESS, (ACCESS)	150.000	ENTERING	3/7/2016	



Behavior

				1
Message Center	< Back		Angel S. Garcia	2
Today				
Calendar	Behavior			
Assignments	DATE/TIME	EVENT		
Grades	3/11/2021 13:58	211 Cutting Class		
Grade Book Updates				
Attendance				
Schedule				
Responsive Schedule				
Academic Plan				
Fees				
Documents				
More				



Attendance

				1
Message Center	Attendance		Taylor A. Johnson	-
Today				
Calendar	Tardies and early dismissals show as an absence we has missed 10 minutes of the school day and will st	when viewing daily atendance. For exam how as an absence on the portal but is a	nple, a student arriving 10 minutes late	
Assignments		now as an absence on the portal bar is	calculated as a larty.	
Grades				
Grade Book Updates	Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4			
Attendance	Term Q4 (03/22 - 05/25)			
Schedule	COURSE	ABSENT	TARDY	
Responsi∨e Schedule	1 Homeroom	3	0	
Academic Plan				
Fees	Totals	3	0	
Documents				
More				



Grades

		A 1
Message Center	Grades	James E. Harris 🛛 🔒
Today		
Calendar	Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	
Assignments	Term Q2 (10/13 - 12/17)	Settings V
Grades		
Grade Book Updates	1 Eng Lang Arts	-
Attendance	ELATERM	S
Schedule	Foundation Skills	(81%)
Responsive Schedule	Reading Informational Text	
Academic Plan	Reading Literature	
Fees	Written Expression	
Documents	Speaking & Listening	
More	Progress	
	1 General Music	-
	MUSICTERM	E
	Progress	(92.5%)
	1 Homeroom	-



Academic Plan

Message Center				May Flowers
Today	Academic Plan 🛛 📮 Save 🖉 Course H	Plan Report Editing disabled for parents	*	
Calendar	E Program: 28 Credit (Graduation) Col	unselor: SHOWERS, APRIL April.Showers@mnps.o	rg	
. Carcinaa	Grade: 9 8.0 / 7.5	Grade: 10 8.0 / 8.0	Grade: 11 10.0 / 8.0	Grade: 12 6.0 / 8.5 0
Assignments	ENGLISH (4.0 / 4.0)			
Crades	1.071.0	1.0 / 1.0	2.0 / 1.0	2.0 / 1.0
Glaues	ENG1514S1 IGCSE English Lung S1 - 0.5	TNG1201US1 English IIII S1 - 0.5	AIC922491 AICE Englished I AS Level S1 - 1.0	AIC922561 AICE Eng Lit I AS S1 - 1.0
Grade Book Updates	ENG1514S2 IGCSE English Long S2 0.5	ENG1203HS2 English II H S2 0.5	AIC9224S2 AICE Eng Lang I AS Level S2 1.0	AIC9225S2 AICE Eng Lit I AS S2 1.0
Attendance	2.0 credit overflow to ELEC TVE FOCUS			
Schedule	MATHEMATICS (4.0 / 4.0)			
Personalius Cabadula	1.0 / 1.0	1.0 / 1.0	2.0 / 1.0	2.0 / 1.0
Responsive Schedule	MTH4414S1 IGCSE Mathematics 1 S1 - 0 5	MTH4812HS1 Integrated Math II H S1 - 0.5	MTH4434S1 IGCSE Mathematics 3 S1 - 1 0	MTH4444S1 AICE Mathematics AS 51 - 1.0
Academic Plan	MT(1441492 IGC.9F Mathematics 1 82 - 0.5	MTI1481211S2 Integrated Math11 H S2 - 0.5	MTH4434S2 IGCSF Mathematics 3 S2 - 1 0	MTI 144462 AICT Mathematics AS S2 - 1.0
Fees	2.0 credit overflow to ELECTIVE FOCUS			
Descention	ALERT. Course Requirement not met. MATH 10			
Documents	SCIENCE (3.0 / 3.0)			
More	1.0 / 1.0	1.0 / 1.0	2.0 / 1.0	2.0 / 0.0
	SCI6514S1 IGCSE Biology I 51 - 0.5	SCI6114HS1 Chemistry I H S1 - 0.5	SCI6515S1 AICE Biology AS S1 - 1.0	SCI6841S1 AICE Environ Mgmt AS 51 - 1.0
	S2 - 0.5	S06114HS2 Chemistry I H 32 - 0.5	SCIE51552 AICE Rinlegy AS S2 - 1.0	SCI684152 AICE Environ Mgmt AS 52 - 1.0
	3.0 credit overflow to ELECTIVE FOCUS			
	ALERT: Course Requirement not met ADD1 LAB SCIENC ALERT: Course Requirement not met ADD1 LAB SCIENC	F		



Phase 2

Visual display of longitudinal student data, links to resources



Convene Stakeholders

- Educators (Administrators, Coaches, Teachers)
- Families (Parents and Students representative of MNPS population)
- Community Members



Attendance Visuals (Summary)







Assessment Visuals

essment - MAP	TCAD/TNDaadu A	hievement			Caring 20		
	TCAP/INReady A	TOAP/TRIKeduy Achievement			Spring 2021		
the second s		Performance Level					
		1 Below Performance at this level	2 Approaching Performance at this level	3 On-Track Performance at this level	4 Mastered Performance at this level		
e range (Duintie 3) of achievement in n increase in achievement elice the		demonstrates may the student rule a minimum understanding and nominal ability to apply knowledge and skills of the given subject as defined by the Termossee Account Complete	compositions into the student is approaching understanding and has a partial ability to apply the knowledge and skills of the given subject as defined by the	demonstrates into the student nail a comprehensive understanding and has a thorough ability to apply the knowledge and skills of the given subject as defined by the	demotetrates that the student an extensive understanding and has an export ability to apply th innoviedge and skills of the give subject as defined by the		
ls .		pecademic deandards.	Territessee Academic compares.	Terrassee Academic Sumparts.	Tennessee Acabemic Standard		
territori and territori	English/Language Arts			On-Track			
	Mathematics		Approaching				
territori agri territori i							
rage range (Cuintile 4) of achievement as shown an increase in achievement	Science			On-Track			
tol year.	Social Studies				Mastered		
The state and state and the state	Social Studies				Mastered		

nessee (
	-ompren	ensive	Assessmen	Program (TCA	P) State Per	centile	Rank	ings	
AP Engli	shLangu	iage Ar	ts		TCA	P Matt	emat	kos	
aniele		Averag		59	Danielle	-	State /	werage	
•	23	37	43	3340 Percentie	42	42	_	62	68
18	2019 Year	2021	2022	2017	8018	2019 Year		2821	2022
writinge of sta	dents states TDAP English	ride at the	same grade level	This graph shows that scared lower	the percentage a than this student	f students for TDAP	Mathem	ie at the atics.	sane gade
0414 0 2017 2	115 Gra 6	6 a 10	Gid 9 2922	Oweld	Grid 4 2017	2115	2019	Gra 8 2021	Gad 9 2922
30 .	12 23	35		Daried	ne 41 venime 55	42	50	50	60
	AP Englis eniole 2 certape of sto s student for 2 attr 2 30	AP EnglishLangu aniele State 2 23 11 2070 Year Year cases of student theory English and/or the TDP English Control or 5 2014 0.012 0.012 2014 0.012 2.013	LP EnglishLanguage Ar miele State Average 2 377 8121 Year with a state of the state of the state 15 377 8121 with a state of the state of the state 15 30 42 23 37	AP EnglishLanguage Ars aniels State Average 2 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 24 1 25 1 26 1 27 1 28 27 29 28 20 27 21 1 22 1 23 1 24 1 25 1 26 1 27 1 28 1 29 1 20 2 21 1 22 1 23 1 24 1 25 27	VE Englishkangungs Arts 30 2 20 31 20 20 32 20 20 32 20 20 32 20 20 32 20 20 32 20 20 32 20 20 32 20 20 32 20 20 32 20 20 32 20 20 32 20 20 32 20 20 32 20 20 32 20 20 32 20 20 32 20 20 32 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 <td>Off Profile Accession particle Off Pro</td> <td>U Projuktivnog Arti mini – Mini Artopo 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td> <td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td> <td></td>	Off Profile Accession particle Off Pro	U Projuktivnog Arti mini – Mini Artopo 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	



Below	Approaching	On-Track	Mastered
Minimum	Approaching	Comprehensive	Extensive
understanding and	understanding and	understanding and	understanding and
nominal ability	partial ability	thorough ability	expert ability

CAP Performance Levels Spring 2021					
English/Language Ar	rts On-Track				
Mathematic	ics Approaching				
Science	ce On-Track				
Social Studie	es Mastered				
These descriptions indicate level of understanding and and skills of the given subjec Academic	These descriptions indicate the student's demonstrated level of understanding and ability to apply the knowledge and skills of the given subject as defined by the Tennessee Accedencie Standards				
Below	Approaching				
Minimum understanding and nominal ability	Approaching understanding and partial ability				
On-Track	Mastered				
Comprehensive understanding and thorough ability	Extensive understanding and expert ability				



Assessment Visuals





This graph shows the percentage of students statewide at the same grade level that scored lower than this student for TCAP English/Language Arts. This graph shows the percentage of students statewide at the same grade level that scored lower than this student for TCAP Mathematics.

* 2022 Projected score based upon TCAP history across multiple subjects.

METRO NASHVILLE PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Behavior Visuals

laboral	Incident Date	Different	been by	Response
AcGeneral High	4,06,0821	200 Repeat Violations Page 1	2	usof Decipiere
AcGaucek High	4,04,0420	Hill Leaving School Grounds		2 Days - Dut of School Supervisor
ArGanesi High	408,980	100 Append Violations Type 2	1	1 Day: Dut of School Suspension
Weilaweek High	4/1/9826 688.2			Onuși indervention Propram
		and a cardle wathout one	1	1 Oays: Out-of-School Surgession
McGaurek/High	3/01/2003	100 Tarsiy to Class or School		Local Discipline
	APRA DE DE	30 Loning School Grounds	1	
American		201 Presentation/Unit Teleasure	2	3 Days-Dated School Scopension, 2 Days
		211 Cutting Elses	1	used Deciplere, Alt-No Excipline Respon-
		300 Repeat Violations Type 2	,	
Aproxima A	8/8/2021	230 On g. Paraphenaka	1	usof bucyline
Attention	N/8/2921	FIL Cutting they	1	usof thickline



School	Incident Date	Offense	Severity	Response
Music City High	4/26/2021	200 Repeat Violations Type 1	2	Local Discipline
Music City High	4/20/2021	302 Leaving School Grounds	3	2 Days: Out-of-School Suspensio
Music City High	4/19/2021	300 Repeat Violations Type 2	3	1 Day: Out-of-School Suspension
Music City High	4/1/2021	505 ZT Drugs-Use/Poss/Distr	5	Drug Intervention Program,
				5 Days Out-of-School Suspansion
Music City High	3/31/2021	100 Tardy to Class or School	1	Local Discipline
Rhythm High	3/23/2021	302 Leaving School Grounds	3	3 Days: Out-of-School Suspensio
Rhythm High		202 Possession/Use Tobacco	2	
Rhythm High		211 Cutting Class	2	2 Days: Local Discipline,
Rhythm High		300 Repeat Violations Type 2	3	NR-No Discipline Response

Behavior Type 3 Type 5 Severity: Type 1 Type 2 Type 4 School Incident Date Offense Severity Response 2 Local Discipline Music City MS 4/25/2022 200 Repeat Violations Type 1 Music City MS 4/19/2022 302 Leaving School Grounds 3 2 Days: Out-of-School Suspension Music City MS 1 Day: Out-of-School Suspension 4/18/2022 300 Repeat Violations Type 2 3 Music City MS 3/31/2022 505 ZT Drugs-Use/Poss/Distr Drug Intervention Program, 5 Days Out-of-School Suspension 100 Tardy to Class or School Local Discipline Music City MS 3/30/2021 1 Rhythm MS 3/22/2021 302 Leaving School Grounds 3 3 Days: Out-of-School Suspension Rhythm MS 202 Possession/Use Tobacco 2 Rhythm MS 211 Cutting Class 2 2 Davs: Local Discipline. Rhythm MS 300 Repeat Violations Type 2 3 NR-No Discipline Response Robert has had 11 days of Out-of-School Suspension (OSS) this year. Robert has had 4 incidents with a severity level 3 or higher offense.

For more information regarding student disciplinary practices and procedures, please see the Student-Parent Handbook.



Data Warehouse



190999901

Personalized Student Dashboard



Robert Zimmerman

Music City Middle School

Grade 8

Address: 27 EDDIE GEORGE WAY , NASHVILLE, TN 37213 Phone: (615)111-1111 Guardian(s): Stone, Beattrice(Parent); Zimmerman, Abram (Parent)

Attendance Summary					
8	9%	95% (Satisfactory)			
Robert has been present of		or days enrolled.			
Robert's Attendance:	Attendance Category	% of Days Present			
Days Enrolled: 172	Satisfactory:	95 or more			
Days Present: 154	Borderline:	90 to 95			
Days Tardy: 27	Moderate:	80 to 90			
	Severe:	less than 80			

Course Grades							
2021-22 Q1 Term	n Grade	2021-22 Q2 Terr	m Grade	2021-22 Q3 Term	n Grade	2021-22 Q4 Term Grade	
8 Band	90 (B)	8 Band	82 (C)	8 Eng Lang Arts	87 (B)		
8 Eng Lang Arts	86 (B)	8 Eng Lang Arts	84 (C)	8 Mathematics	74 (D)		
8 Mathematics	73 (D)	8 Mathematics	89 (B)	8 Phys Ed	88 (B)		
8 Science	98 (A)	8 Phys Ed	100 (A)	8 Science	89 (B)		
8 Social Studies	83 (C)	8 Science	74 (D)	8 Social Studies	96 (A)		
		8 Social Studies	88 B)				



		Be	ehavio	r	
Severity:	Type 1	Type 2	Type 3		Туре 4 Туре 5
School	Incident Date	Offense		Severity	Response
Music City MS	4/25/2022	200 Repeat Violat	ions Type 1	2	Local Discipline
Music City MS	4/19/2022	302 Leaving Sch	ool Grounds	3	2 Days: Out-of-School Suspension
Music City MS	4/18/2022	300 Repeat Viola	ations Type 2	3	1 Day: Out-of-School Suspension
Music City MS	3/31/2022	505 ZT Drugs-Us	e/Poss/Distr	5	Drug Intervention Program,
					5 Days Out-of-School Suspension
Music City MS	3/30/2021	100 Tardy to Clas	s or School	1	Local Discipline
Rhythm MS	3/22/2021	302 Leaving Sch	ool Grounds	3	3 Days: Out-of-School Suspension
Rhythm MS		202 Possession/L	lse Tobacco	2	
Rhythm MS		211 Cutting Class		2	2 Days: Local Discipline,
Rhythm MS		300 Repeat Viola	ations Type 2	3	NR-No Discipline Response
Robert has had Robert has had	11 days of 0 4 incidents v	Out-of-School Su vith a severity lev	spension (OS rel 3 or higher	S) this y offense	year. 9.



Performance Level Descriptions: These descriptions indicate the student's demonstrated level of understanding and ability to apply the knowledge and skills of the given subject as defined by the Tennessee Academic Standards.

Below	Approaching	On-Track	Mastered
Minimum	Approaching	Comprehensive	Extensive
understanding and	understanding and	understanding and	understanding and
nominal ability	partial ability	thorough ability	expert ability

Next Steps for Stakeholder Input

- Develop Personalized Student Dashboard data warehouse report
- Load 2022 TCAP/TNReady/EOC scores into Infinite Campus after they arrive
- Develop process to mass produce and distribute individual student report pdfs
- Continue pursuing online options that provide families direct access to advanced visuals
- Working with State on standardizing data load of State assessment data based on Operational Data Store Standards





STUDENT DATA PRIVACY

CLASSROOM TECHNOLOGY ACCESS AND EQUITY

Council of Great City Schools Interoperability Maturity Model October 20, 2022 Rick Allen – Director III User Support Services



INTEROPERABILITY MATURITY MODEL

Interoperability Initiatives #1

- Student Data Privacy Establish Standard Policies and Procedures
 - Consistency throughout the Organization
 - Standardized Processes and Forms
 - Documentation of Policy

STUDENT DATA PRIVACY

ESTABLISH STANDARD POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

- Domain : Privacy
- Capability: Standard Policies & Procedures
- Current Maturity Level: 1
- Documents proper data request, access and security policies regarding internal and external access and publication of data. Policies and procedures remain consistent throughout the organization.

Desired: Maturity Level 3

• Desired Maturity Description: These policies are documented, standardized request forms exist and are implemented in some projects.

CONSISTENCY THROUGHOUT ORGANIZATION

From

Multiple Ways Student Data Shared with Applications

Multiple Contract Templates

То

- All Instructional Applications Rostered Through Clever
- Standard Contract Language with Data Sharing, Insurance, Indemnification



STANDARDIZED PROCESSES AND FORMS

Standard Process for All Application Requests

- Standard Forms
 - Policy 6150 (CCF-386 -Supplemental Instructional Materials)
 - Student Data Usage Questionnaire (SDUQ)



STANDARDIZED PROCESSES AND FORMS

Standard Form: Student Data Usage Questionnaire

FERPA

- CIPA
- COPPA
- PPRA
- FERPA
- HIPAA
- IDEA
- Policy 4100 (Student/Teacher Communication)
- •NRS 388

CCSD CLARK COUNTY

Student Data Usage Questionnaire

Nevada Revised Statutes (ORS) 383.291 requires vendors to provide Nevada school districts, in easy to understand language, the type of confidential student data collected, how the data is used, and the vendors' data security plan before a Nevada school district may use the application or service. In order to assist vendor communication, as well as to ensure compliance with all applicable statutes, laws, regulations, ordinancer, codes, nules, proclamations, and other governmental requirements, all current or prospective educational software and / or educational services application vendors mast answer the following questions in full.

1. Name of the software application.	
2. Is the software application educational in nature?	Yes 🗖 No 🗖
3. Does your application or service allow for direct teacher / student communication? 3a. If yes, is there a record of the communication between the student and teacher?	Yes 🗆 No 🗖 Yes 🗊 No 🗖
4. What is the URL/website location of the operator's terms of service? 4a. If a full copy is not online, please provide a copy.	
5. What is the URL/website location of the operator's privacy policy?	

5a. If a full copy is not online, please provide a copy.

6. What types of information will the operator collect from students? Please select all that apply

Personally identifiable information (PII)	
Non-PII	
Data on children 13 years of age and under	
Any student data for commercial purposes not related to services requested by school	
Any student data for the purposes of marketing or sale	
Medical information	
Political affiliations or beliefs	
Mental or psychological problems of the student and his/her family	
Sex behavior or attitudes	
Illegal, anti-social, self-incriminating or demeaning behavior	
Critical appraisals of other individuals with whom respondents have close family relationships	
Legally recognized privileged or analogous relationships, such as those of lawyers, physicians, and ministers	
Religious practices, affiliations, or beliefs of the student or student's parent/guardian	
Income (other than that required by law to determine eligibility for participation in a program or for receiving financial assistance under such program)	

7. Does the operator expect the Clark County School District (CCSD) to obtain parental/guardian consent to share student data? Yes 🗆 No 🗖

8. Does the operator believe a Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) exception applies to allow sharing of student data? Yes 🗖 No 🗖

If so, choose one of the following:

□ a. School official exception (outside service provider performing a function the school would normally use employees to perform)

Technology and Information Systems Services Division

STANDARDIZED PROCESSES AND FORMS

Standard Process for All Application Requests

 S.A.F.E. List Review Checklist

Safe List Review Checklist

SAS team will use this form to submit applications for TISS approval of student data privacy review for software and applications. Federal and State Law:

All applications or services that utilize Clark County School District (CCSD) student personally identifiable information (PII) must meet federal and state requirements.

Regulation 4100 states:

A CCSD employee or representative/volunteer who wants to communicate through an electronic system with a student about an education-related matter, which includes athletic and extracurricular matters, shall only use approved systems, unless exigent circumstances exist.

			Requestor's Information		
1a.) CCF-386 in place	1b.) Name of CCSD Administrative Sponsor	1c.) Requestor's Location	1d.) Requestor's Name	1e.) Requestor's Job Title	1f.) Requestor's Phone Number
Yes O No O			Outreach - CID		

Application Information					
2a.) Vendor's Name	2b.) Application's Name	2c.) Application's Description			
Imagine Learning	Imagine Language and Literacy	Imagine Language & Ulensy accelerate reading and language professionry in grader Perk fo ® Designed to supplement corre literary instruction, it addresses all but domains of language professionry in grader Perk fo ® Designed to supplement standards-aligned scope and sequence, attueting develop critical skills in phonological awareness, phonics, fliency, vocabulary, and comprehension. With adaptive instruction, extensive scafolding, and first-language support, the program is umajeely qualified to address diverse instructional restorative of body's learners.			

2d.) Application Audie	nce	2e.) Clever Integration Type		2f.) If 2e is Other, please specify
District Staff / Admin		SSO with Rostering – Includes PII	0	
School Staff / Admin		Rostering Only – Includes PII	0	
Teachers	V	SSO – No PII	0	
Students	V	SSO (Saved Passwords) - No PII	0	
Parents		Other	0	

	Equivalent Application	
3a.) Is there an equivalent application already	3b.) If 3a is Yes, please provide the name of equivalent	3c.) Please describe why equivalent application will not perform the
approved	Application.	needed function.
Yes O		
No 💿		

DOCUMENTATION OF PROCESSES

- Policy 6150
- Checklist for Principals
- Required Training for Licensed Administrators and Teachers

Clark County School District CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT Review and Approval Process Checklist

Determine if the core/supplemental/intervention instructional materials are educational software or application products or services.

If NO: Complete and submit <u>CCF-386</u> to the Region supervisor. Region supervisor will approve/deny the request based on provided information and share the CCF-386 with the Academic Unit, reviewing the decision.

If approved, submit Purchase Order with Region supervisor approval and Academic Unit reviewed CCF-386 to the Purchasing Department.

If YES: Complete the Approved Software and Applications for Education Review and Approval Process Checklist by following the process below.

Approved Software and Applications for Education Review and Approval Process Checklist

Use this checklist prior to purchasing any software and application products or services.

Step 1: Determine if the application is on the Approved Software and Applications for Education (SAFE) List.

- Check the approved SAFE List to determine if the application is already approved or if an equivalent application is already approved at <u>safe.ccsd.net</u>. If the application or if an equivalent is on the list and the school decides to use it:
 - Submit a completed <u>CCF-386</u> to the Region supervisor. Region supervisor will approve/deny the request based on provided information and share the CCF-386 with the Academic Unit, reviewing the decision.
 - Submit Purchase Order with Region supervisor approval and Academic Unit reviewed CCF-386 to the Purchasing Department.

The Purchasing department will notify the Technology and Information Systems Services (TISS) Division to roster in Clever.

If the application is denied or not on safe.ccsd.net, it may not be used until steps 2 and 3 are completed.

Step 2: Determine if an application not on the approved SAFE List will be pursued.

- Determine if the application can be rostered in Clever by contacting the software company. If not, the application may not be used.
- If the application can be rostered in Clever, complete a <u>CCF-386</u> and send the company the <u>Student Data Usage</u> <u>Questionnaire (SDUQ)</u>.
- Send the completed CCF-386 and the SDUQ to the Region supervisor.
- If the company does not use PII, the supervisor will approve/deny the application. The Region supervisor will approve/deny the request based on provided information and share the CCF-386 with the Academic Unit, reviewing the decision.
- If denied, the application may not be used. If approved; move to Step 3.

If the company uses PII, the Region supervisor will send the SDUQ to <u>0056-appreview@nv.ccsd.net</u>. Upon receipt

DOCUMENTATION OF PROCESSES

- Software and Applications for Education (S.A.F.E.) List
- Website: safe.ccsd.net
 - Applications Reviewed: 222
 - •Applications Approved: 215



INTEROPERABILITY MATURITY MODEL

Interoperability Initiatives #2

- Upgrade Classroom Technology Access and Equity
 - Technology & Infrastructure Standardization
 - Procurement
 - Implementation Fidelity

UPGRADE CLASSROOM TECHNOLOGY ACCESS AND EQUITY

Digital One to One Plan

The ESSER III \$200M Technology Upgrade is part of the CCSD Superintendent's Digital One to One Initiative to provide access and equity to technology for learning and instruction.

"If CCSD implements Digital One to One with fidelity, then our District will ensure that all students and educators will have equitable access to relevant educational technology and improved instructional resources supporting standards-based instruction, thereby positively impacting instruction resulting in increased student achievement."

TECHNOLOGY & INFRASTRUCTURE STANDARDIZATION

- Technology Standards Committee
- Standard Technology Evaluation Process
- Standard Technology Evaluation Forms and Documentation

TECHNOLOGY & INFRASTRUCTURE STANDARDIZATION

• Step 1 – Request

- Is the technology that I want to use already used within CCSD?
- Does the technology I want to use meet an academic need or solve a current issue?

Step 2 – Evaluation and Review

- Effectiveness
- Accessibility
- End User, Teacher, and Student Experience
- Cost
- Support
- Data Privacy and Security
- Maturity



TECHNOLOGY EVALUATION FORM

Technology Evaluation Form				
Effectiveness	1 Poor	2 Average	3 Excellent	N/A
What issue does the new technology currently solve?				
What are the advantages of the new technology?				
What are the risks/downside?				
What data or proof has been provided to prove the technology performs as presented?				
To what extent does the data suggest the technology will assist in meeting the defined goal or goals?				
Have other school districts used the technology effectively?				
Based on past performance, what outcomes are expected?				
Based on past performance, what is the time period over which results can be expected and measured?				
Does the technology provide data related to its intended use and measurement of impact?				
If the technology provides analytics, what data is collected and what tools are used or needed to collect the data?				

	1	2	3	
Accessibility	Poor	Average	Excellent	N/A
Is this technology already used within CCSD? If so, is this evaluation for an upgrade or expansion of the technology?				
is the technology easy to use, easy to learn, and appear to be built with end user satisfaction in mind?				
Does the end user require training to use the technology effectively, and if so how much training is required? (Tested with End users)				
Does the technology be accessed with multiple platforms?				
Does the technology require an internet connection?				
Do end users need to be technologically proficient to understand or use the item?				
Is the navigation of the technology easy to understand and move?				
Does the technology offer translation for users whose first language is not English?				
Does the technology offer accessibility options for end users with disabilities?				
Is the level of complexity of the technology appropriate for the literacy and technology level of the intended end user?				
	1	2	3 Eventlant	51/0
Oser experience	PUUI	Average	Excellent	N/A
Will the hardware be used by the teacher, the students, or both?				
If login is required, is the login integrated with CCSD's SSO options				
What end user engagement strategy is incorporated into the technology to encourage use?				
	1	2	3	

PROCUREMENT

Interactive Flat Panel Request for Proposal (RFP)

- Defined requirements and standards based on Interdepartmental, School Leadership, and Educator Collaboration
- Two Stage RFP Scoring
- Curriculum and Instruction Final Decision Maker

IMPLEMENTATION FIDELITY

- Standardized Technology Installation 569 IFPS in 10 Schools
- Professional Learning for Educators PL in 3 Phases
- Standardized Technology Support Process Fidelity

RESULTS

- My school is now in the 21st century. The future is now and IFPs are how.
 Ms. Roseman, Principal, Scott ES
- My teachers are loving their flatscreens.-Dr. Reece, Principal, Rundle ES
- We are now able to engage our students in a positive manner using the same level of technology that they are currently using in their day to day lives.
 -Mr. Gist, Principal, Gibson JHS
- The boards have immediately made an impact on our classrooms and look forward to how this tool will help increase student achievement and engagement.
 - Mr. West, Principal, Faiss JHS



CGCS Interoperability and Privacy Cohort Program





Cross-Functional Decision Making and Governance: How your district can lay the foundation

- 1. Form a **cross-functional team** that will formally adopt, publish, and communicate district-wide technology and privacy standards
- 2. Formalize a cross-functional **procurement process** that includes requirements for meeting your district's functional, technology and privacy standards
- 3. Evaluate and approve applications for use, regardless of price, against your district's established technology and privacy standards and maintain an up to date list of approved edtech products



CGCS Interoperability and Privacy Cohort Program

Participating Districts Serving over

2 million

- Albuquerque Public Schools
- Boston Public Schools
- Chicago Public Schools
- Clark County School District
- Cleveland Public Schools
- Dallas Independent School District
- Des Moines Public Schools
- Fresno Unified School District
- Hillsborough County
- Kansas City Public Schools
- Metro Nashville Public Schools

- Minneapolis Public Schools
- Oklahoma City Public Schools
- Orange County Public Schools
- Philadelphia Public Schools
- Pinellas County Schools
- Portland School District
- Rochester Public Schools
- Saint Paul Public Schools
- San Antonio Independent School District
- Tulsa Public Schools







More details about the domains

Maturity Level Descriptions

1. Initial	The organization's capabilities are not yet well-formed. Interoperability capabilities are non-existent or generally limited to data communication between internal enterprise systems. Evidence of this may include disjointed microsystems, manual import/export/conversion of data and frequently gathering data from multiple data systems to complete data requests.
2. Emerging	The organization is planning or initiating a formal process to strengthen their interoperability capabilities. Evidence of this may include establishment of standing cross-program area meetings and documented plans and/or objectives. There remains work to do to ensure the people, processes and systems across the organization are moving towards broader interoperability implementation.
3. Building	The organization's interoperability plan has been adopted by leadership and capabilities are actively being improved upon. Evidence of this may include standard processes that have been defined within subsets of the organization and, where relevant, are occasionally being leveraged organization-wide and may include external peers/stakeholders.
4. Optimizing	The organization easily accesses high quality information across departments for decision-making and continues to strengthen core capabilities. Evidence of this may include the adoption of standard processes across the organization , increased awareness of the capability and decision making for the capability are increasingly based on data and metrics and defined strategies for optimization of human and IT capital aligned to interoperability goals are documented, communicated and known across the agency.
5. Transformative	The organization is fully realizing the goals and objectives for interoperability to support operational needs and interoperability solutions are beginning to support classroom instruction. Evidence of this may include the identification, documentation and sharing of best practices within the organization and with relevant external peers/stakeholders. The organization recognizes the ever expanding nature of the work and thus has instituted continuous improvement, sustainability and ongoing evaluation processes.









Welcome to the Interoperability Maturity Model Organizational Assessment and Planning Tool.

Your District: Portland Public Schools

District Team Lead: Don Wolff

Interoperability and Privacy Planning Tool

Phase 1

Mapping Your Starting Point:

Current State Self Assessment on Interoperability & Privacy Readiness

The first module in the Planning Guide will step you through a series of questions will help you determine where your district's currently places on a spectrum of Interoperability Readiness..

Quick Links to Domain Surveys

Leadership & Vision Procurement Governance Technology & Infrastructure Implementation Fidelity Privacy Readiness Map

Phase 2

Validating the Destination:

CGCS Interoperability Support Team Review & Validation

The second phase is a CGCS Interoperability Support Team Review & Validation. In this phase the CGCS Team will validate self assessment findings and establish future state organizational goals for interoperability and Privacy

Phase 3

Building the Roadmap:

Gap Analysis and Roadmap

The first module in the Planning Guide will step you through a series of questions will help you determine where your school currently places on a spectrum of eAssessment Readiness, from just starting to advanced implementation.

Phase 4

Starting the Journey

Cohort sharing and progress monitoring

In Phase 4 your district will be matched with other partner districts to share challenges and success in improving Interoperability and Privacy efforts. This partnership will be supportive and assist over the next 2 years.



District Developed Resources

Saint Paul Public Schools



Pinellas County Schools





Overview & Tutorial

A collaborative approach to fulfilling your technology and software needs.

TECHNOLOGY/SOFTWARE REQUEST

REQUEST FORM COMPLETION GUIDE.

Technology/Software Purchase Request

The IT Governance Council reviews all hardware and software purchase requests of any device(s) and / or any software license(s) through evaluating the profile of the purchase to provide recommendations. The IT Governance Council is not a funding source for requests.

Through interoperability, the purpose of the IT Governance Council is to:

· Maintain a process for evaluating and prioritizing major IT instructional technology initiatives

· Evaluate and recommend IT instructional technology funding levels, models, and

mechanisms.

· Evaluate the profile of the purchase of commodity IT instructional technology hardware/software and make recommendations.

· Develop governance practices that differentiate and assign responsibility for governance, service delivery, and departmental roles.

. Ensure that the governance model will leverage the information assets of PCS, maintain

security, reduce expenditures and improve operations.

To ensure the greatest understanding of your request, it is imperative that you complete the form below in its entirety and as accurately as possible.

Indicate your site location and department if applicable.	Enter the school or department name.
Enter your answer	
Requested by: *	
indicate the same of the individual sutherized to make this	Enter the name of the person making the
Indicate the name of the Individual authorized to make this Enter your answer	Enter the name of the person making the request (Last, First)
ndicate the name of the individual authorized to make this Enter your answer	Enter the name of the person making the request. (Last, First)



Home Approved (SAFE) List In Progress Denied List FAQs Q



Approved Software and Applications for Education (SAFE) List

Des Moines Public Schools Data Governance <u>Guide</u> and Steering Committee <u>Charter</u>

DES MOINES PUBLIC SCHOOLS DATA & IT GOVERNANCE STEERING COMMITTEE CHARTER



Updated June 2022

The Data & IT Governance Steering Committee is charged with identifying, prioritizing, and advising tactical and strategic data and IT initiatives and projects. This charter specifies the purpose, membership, and responsibilities of the Data & IT Governance Steering Committee.

Scope

The Data & IT Governance Steering Committee is responsible for directing, controlling, and measuring the data and IT activities and processes of the district. The accountability of the Data & IT Governance Steering Committee spans:

- · Operational / "business as usual" activities that comprise the processes within the scope of its authority
- · "Transformation" programs and projects that affect the processes within the scope of its authority
- All improvement initiatives that affect the processes within the scope of its authority

The Data & IT Governance Steering Committee is required to ensure sufficient organizational capability exists to enable the processes within its scope to perform and deliver the results expected by the business.

Centralized governance is a key factor for successful management of complex technology and data systems. It enables DMPS to deal more effectively with challenges related to data sharing, changes over time, and satisfying the needs of different stakeholder groups within and outside of the district. The benefits of a proactive approach to data governance include:

- improved data accuracy, achieved by scheduling regular data quality audits and using consistent data standards in variable naming and metadata categorization
- improved technology usability, resulting from monitoring systems for consistency with the organizational vision and stakeholders' needs
- improved data usability, resulting from monitoring data content for consistency with the organizational vision and stakeholders' needs
- improved data timeliness, accomplished by avoiding unnecessary duplication of data collection efforts and
 reducing the work necessary to reconcile errors or discrepancies when merging or sharing data
- increased data security, gained by designing a comprehensive security plan and applying appropriate levels of
 protection to the data based on their level of sensitivity

Membership

- · Director of Assessment, Data, and Evaluation
- · Director of Technology
- Leadership and Learning Representatives (4)
- Talent and Personnel Representative



DES MOINES PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Updated March 2021



Data Governance establishes the foundation (policies, standards, architecture, decision-making structure, issue-resolution process) for collecting, managing, and releasing data for improved quality, accessibility, and use. Active and comprehensive data governance enables DMPS to harness, link, access, analyze, and act upon district data (including all major categories of student, staff, assessment, school, human resources, financial, and operational data) with confidence that the data is accurate and consistent.

Establishing a comprehensive data governance program ensures confidentiality, integrity, and availability of the data by reducing data security risks due to unauthorized access or misuse of data. Specifying standards, policies, procedures, and responsibilities regarding data ownership and data-related activities minimizes any detrimental outcomes in the event of a data breach.

By clearly outlining policies, standard procedures, responsibilities, and controls surrounding data activities, a data governance helps to ensure that information is collected, maintained, used, and disseminated in a way that protects an individuals' (students and staff) rights to privacy, confidentiality, and security, while producing timely and accurate data.

Closing



- Contact <u>Willie Burroughs</u> if you're interested in joining a future cohort
- Contact any of the CGCS Interoperability & Privacy team members with any questions about the maturity model









THANK YOU!

Panel participants:

Doug Renfro, Metro Nashville -- <u>douglas.renfro@mnps.org</u> Rick Allen, Clark County – <u>allenra@nv.ccsd.net</u>

The CGCS Interoperability & Privacy Team:

- Shahryar Khazei -- shahryar.khazei@gmail.com
- Dr. Thomas Ryan -- <u>Tom.Ryan@K12STAG.com</u>
- Maritess Plewnarz -- <u>mplewnarz@gmail.com</u>
- Eric Vignola -- evignola@cgcs.org